**JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL RESEARCH**

**María Serrana Private University**

**Original Articles Evaluation Form**

**Date:**

**Title of the article :**

**Scale**

**2 Satisfactory**
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| **Aspects to evaluate** | **Satisfactory****two** | **Satisfactory with recommendations****1** | **Not acceptable****0** | **Does not apply** | **Qualification/****Observations** |
| **Presentation: front sheet** | Show contentcomplete with the identification data of the institution, title of the article , authors | Show only part of the content | The content is incomplete |  |  |
| **Summary:** | Follow the rules of a structured summary.               | Follows the rules of a structured summary, but theorder is inappropriate | Does not contain a structured summary |  |  |
| **Scientific background, theoretical or conceptual framework** | They concisely provide a clear and relevant view of the current state ofknowledge about the problem studied | Lack of clarity in the presentation of the vision of the current state of theknowledge inrelationship with the problem studied | It is not clear and the vision is not up-to-date in knowledge |  |  |
| **References in the text** | Makes direct and indirect references to the bibliographic sources consulted using the APA Standards  | Makes direct and indirect references to the bibliographic sources consulted using the recommended style with errors in some citations and references used  | It does not make direct and indirect references to the bibliographic sources that I consult using the recommended style.  |  |  |
| **Problem Statement:** | Explain clearlythe statement of the problem in which the study is framed .Questions or hypotheses (if applicable) are clearly stated and related to the problem.  | Explain the problemthat arises in the study, but it is difficult to understand .Questions or hypotheses (if applicable) need improvement to relate to the problem | It does not explain or knowIt comprising the approach of the problem . Questions or hypotheses (if applicable) cause confusion and are not related to the problem. |  |  |
| **Goals:** | The general objective andthe specifics areclear and measurable. Thegeneral objective iscongruent with thetitle, hypothesis if applicable ,specific objectives,design and methods. The specific objectivesare congruent withthe general objective andthe methodsproposed forreach each ofthey | The general objective andspecific areclear and measurable,but it doesn't existcongruence betweenthem and the title,hypothesis, design andmethods  | It does not count withdefined objectives |  |  |
| **Justification:** | Express clear andcompletely theneeds of whatbe part and what will it beyour contribution andbenefits .  | Describe in a waypartial theneeds of whatbe part and yourcontribution andbenefits .  | Express ofConfusing waythe needs andthe contribution andbenefits .  |  |  |
| **M ethod:** | Describe theset apart in a wayorganized andrelevant accordingwith the type of studyand design: population, site ofrealization ofstudy, sampling,Selection criteria,definition ofvariables, indicators and scalesmeasurement, descriptionof the study, methods and procedures,statistical analysis andconsiderationsethical . | Describe theset apart in a wayorganized andrelevant accordingthe type of study anddesign, but missingsubsections  | Describe theseparated fromwaydisorganized andnot relevant |  |  |
| **Results:** | Your description isin correspondencewith the objectives ofStudy . They are described in full and in sequential and logical order | Your description ofResults is incorrespondence withthe objectives ofstudy . They are described m w ay complete, but they don't haveorder logical and sequential | The description ofresults noattend theobjectives ofstudy -loses themof sight-  |  |  |
| **Discussion:** | Its construction is based on the objectives of the study, or the hypothesis, the research questions, the frameworktheoretical and findings(results) | His constructionis relatedpartial withstudy objectives,or the hypothesis , research questions, the theoretical framework and thefindings (results | His constructiondoes not saverelationship withobjectives ofstudy,hypotheses, research questions, thetheoretical framework andthe findings |  |  |
| **Conclusions:** | They are supported bythe results(findings) in shapecomplete and consistent with the title of the investigation ; thedescription is clear | They are supportedpartially in theresults (findings)and according to the title ofthe investigation ; the descriptionis clear | They're not heresupported bythe results(findings); thedescription is notclear |  |  |
| **References :** | Is Tán updated s and arerelevant s withregarding the problemstudy andbackgroundscientists (frametheoretical ). the structuringIt agrees to the s standards APA | Missing update data,but if it is pertinentwith respect tostudy problemand backgroundscientists (frametheoretical ). The elaborationHe agrees to the rules APA.   | N or has n connection with The problem ofstudy andbackgroundscientists (frametheoretical) andelaboration noconsider APA standards |  |  |
| **Format and style** | Write the work following the corresponding structure and style, use of titles and subtitles, technical vocabulary and presentation of data in tables or graphs if applicable, statistical notation, and enumeration in the text. | Write with errors the work partially follows the structure and style in, use of titles and subtitles, technical vocabulary and presentation of data in tables, statistical notation, and enumeration in the text. | Inconsistency in writing, presents errors in all citations and references used  |  |  |
| **Note: the evaluator must consider the type of study if it is qualitative or quantitative for the application of certain criteria and aspects for which the corresponding observation will be made.****Observations:**  |